Pull my finger and listen:

Attentional and multisensory contributions to the auditory Pinocchio illusion.

Authors: Marte Roel Lesur^{1,2}, Matthew Longo³, Ana Tajadura Jiménez^{1,4}

¹ i_mBODY lab, DEI Interactive Systems Group, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain; ² Department of Psychology, University of Zurich, Switzerland; ³ Body Representation Laboratory, Birkbeck University of London, UK; ⁴ UCL Interaction Centre, University College London, UK



I. INTRODUCTION	III. RESULTS	IV. DISCUSSION
Alterations of body perception	Exporimont 1	
Alterations of body perception		
 Visual dominance in concomitance to other somatosensory signals may lead to a variety of changes in body perception ¹⁻² 	Finger length estimation task	 Top-down associations (i.e., attention) seem to modulate explicit but not implicit measures.
 Though sounds have shown to alter body perception, less is known about the underlying processes ³⁻⁷ 		 In contrast to existing literature, no evidence of multisensory signals differentially modulating the implicit measure. We
The auditory Pinocchio illusion		used a higher resolution measure; however, the findings are inconclusive yet and more data is required to reach conclusive

Contact

mroel@inf.uc3m.es

- Pulling the finger zenithally and hearing an upward glissando contributes to perceiving a lengthened finger ^{6, 7}.
- This does not seem to happen in other axes or directions (i.e., horizontally nor downwards) nor with a downward glissando ^{6, 7}.
- Such a somatic association with an arbitrary, non-naturalistic sound, makes this paradigm of particular interest.

Could it be due to a top-down association?

Open questions:

- 1) Could this be relying on an anchoring of the sound to the finger, say by means of attention, or are multisensory signals necessary?
- 2) If other sensory modalities are involved, which are these and to what degree do they contribute?

We investigate these questions in healthy participants improving existing setups and measuring devices through digital methods.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Auditory Pinocchio^{6, 7}



Finger length estimation: BF₀₁: The null model is 2.69 times more likely than the model including congruence, 2.83 than that including sound, and 22.13 than that including congruency, sound and their interaction.



evidence.

- Different gestures and sounds differentially contribute to explicit perception of extension and shortening, but there is no interaction.
- Stretching and pulling result in a greater effect of apparent extension according to explicit measures, this is in accordance with participants reports.
- Data collection is ongoing.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We extend our gratitude to Joaku de Sotavento for kindly supporting with creating tools for our procedure. Also, to the whole i_mBODY lab team team for their help during piloting.

VI. REFERENCES

Questionnaire: ART ANOVAs yield for left: no effect of congruence (*F*(1, 16) = 2.51, *p*

= .13) nor sound (F(1, 16) = 1.88, p = .19) but for their interaction (F(1, 16) = 11.43, p

- Participants estimated their finger whenever a visual mark reached their hidden fingertip and base.
- Several repetitions of the task across two experiments.
- An extended questionnaire followed.



< .005). For right: no effect of congruence (F(1, 16) = 0.01, p = .93) a trend for sound (F(1, 16) = 4.29, p = .05) and an interaction effect (F(1, 16) = 9.28, p < .01).

Experiment 2

Finger length estimation task



Finger length estimation: BF₀₁: The null model is 1.12 times more likely than the model including sound, 6.45 than that including gestures, and 38.14 than that including congruency, sound and their interaction.

While listening to the sound,

3

While listening to the sound,

- Botvinick, M., & Cohen, J. (1998). Rubber hands "feel" touch that eyes see. Nature, 391(6669), 756. https://doi.org/10.1038/35784
- Ehrsson, H. H. (2012). The Concept of Body Ownership and Its Relation to Multisensory Integration. In B. E. Stein (Ed.), In: The New Handbook of Multisensory Processes
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Väljamäe, A., Toshima, I., Kimura, T., Tsakiris, M., & Kitagawa, N. (2012). Action sounds recalibrate perceived tactile distance. Current Biology, 22(13), R516–R517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.04.028
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Basia, M., Deroy, O., Fairhurst, M., Marquardt, N., & Bianchi-Berthouze, N. (2015). As Light As Your Footsteps: Altering Walking Sounds to Change Perceived Body Weight, Emotional State and Gait. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2943–2952. https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702374
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Fairhurst, M. T., & Deroy, O. (2022). Sensing the body through sound. In A. J. T. Alsmith & M. R. Longo, The Routledge Handbook of Bodily Awareness (pp. 230–246). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429321542-21
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Vakali, M., Fairhurst, M. T., Mandrigin, A., Bianchi-Berthouze, N., & Deroy, O. (2017). Contingent sounds change the mental representation of one's finger length. Scientific Reports, 7(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05870-4
- Nava, E., & Tajadura-Jiménez, A. (2020). Auditory-induced body distortions in children and adults. Scientific Reports, 10(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59979-0





Questionnaire: ART ANOVAs yield for left: main effect of sound (F(1, 16) = 12., p < 0.005), gesture (F(1, 32) = 9.8, p < 0.001) but no interaction (F(1, 32) = 1.19, p = .32). For right: main effect of sound (F(1, 16) = 16.28, p < .001), gesture (F(1, 32) = 9.8, p < 0.001) and no interaction effect (F(1, 32) = 0.02, p = .97).



